

[Doc. No. 250]

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY  
CAMDEN VICINAGE

---

CAROL BELL, on behalf of  
herself and those similarly  
situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

LOCKHEED MARTIN CORPORATION,

Defendant.

---

Civil No. 08-6292 (RBK/AMD)

**ORDER**

This matter having come before the Court by way of motion [Doc. No. 250] of Defendant, Lockheed Martin Corporation seeking clarification regarding the Court's June 23, 2010 Order [Doc. No. 208] with respect to the temporal scope of discovery; and the Court having heard oral argument on the motion on October 21, 2010 at which time Carol A. Mager, Esquire, Darnley Stewart, Esquire, Martha J. Fessenden, Esquire, and Andrew L. Mackerer, Esquire appeared on behalf of Plaintiffs, and A. Craig Cleland, Esquire, and Danuta B. Panich, Esquire appeared on behalf of Defendant; and for the reasons set forth on the record and for good cause shown:

IT IS on this 21st day of October 2010:

**ORDERED** that Defendant's motion [Doc. No. 250] for clarification of the Court's June 23, 2010 Order regarding the temporal scope of discovery shall be, and is hereby, **DENIED**; and it

is further

**ORDERED** that Defendant shall produce data concerning former employees of Lockheed Martin as set forth on the record.

s/ Ann Marie Donio  
ANN MARIE DONIO  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

cc: Hon. Robert B. Kugler